IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTOINETTE GRAESER

14 ½ Brookline Blvd

Havertown, PA 19083

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

No.:

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FREEDOM VALLEY YOUNG MEN'S

CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION

2460 Boulevard of the Generals

West Norriton, PA 19403

and

PHILADELPHIA FREEDOM

VALLEY YMCA d/b/a

Haverford YMCA

2000 Market Street, Suite 750

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Antoinette Graeser (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

I. Introduction

1. Plaintiff has initiated this action to redress violations by Freedom Valley Young Men's Christian Association and Philadelphia Freedom Valley YMCA d/b/a Haverford YMCA (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants" unless otherwise specified) of the American's with Disabilities Act ("ADA" – 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et. seq.*) and applicable state law(s). Plaintiff was unlawfully terminated by Defendants and she suffered damages more fully described/sought herein.

II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under the laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because they arise out of the same circumstance and are based upon a common nucleus of operative fact.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because their contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction in order to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendants reside in and/or conduct business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff timely filed a Charge of discrimination and retaliation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted her administrative proceedings filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC. Plaintiff will amend her instant lawsuit to include claims under the PHRA after she has properly exhausted her state claims before the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.

III. Parties

6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.

- 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual who resides at the above-captioned address.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendants are two corporate entities which share control of and jointly do business as the Haverford YMCA, a Haverford, PA chapter of the international organization known as the YMCA or Young Men's Christian Association.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

IV. Factual Background

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff is a 47-year-old woman.
- 12. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants for approximately five (5) years.
- 13. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a babysitter.
- 14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants at their Haverford, PA location.
- 15. Plaintiff has and continues to suffer from health conditions including but not limited to a major depressive disorder and herniated disks, both of which require ongoing medication and treatment.
- 16. Plaintiff is prescribed medications for both her back conditions and her psychological disorders.
- 17. During Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff notified Defendants' management of her health conditions.
- 18. On or about February 2, 2014, Defendants' management terminated Plaintiff's employment.

- 19. Defendants' management told Plaintiff that she was terminated for alleged violations of Defendants' drug policy.
- 20. At Defendants' request, Plaintiff submitted to a drug test which was negative for any drugs aside from her regularly prescribed medications.
- 21. Plaintiff was required to submit to the drug test because Defendants' management claimed that Plaintiff fell asleep at work.
- 22. Plaintiff did not fall asleep at work, and there was therefore not even a basis to require her to undergo a drug test.
- 23. Plaintiff was falsely accused of sleeping at work, required to submit to a drug test, and was terminated although the drug test showed only medications which Plaintiff was validly prescribed.
- 24. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that she was terminated because of her disability and/or because she was not properly accommodated.

Count I <u>Violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA")</u> (Actual & Perceived Disability Discrimination / Failure to Accommodate)

- 25. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 26. Plaintiff suffered from serious health conditions that required ongoing treatment, and Defendants terminated Plaintiff because of her disabilities and/or because of her perceived disabilities.
- 27. Defendants failed to engage in a good-faith interactive process with Plaintiff about her accommodations: mainly the fact that she took prescription medications which would affect a drug screening.

4

- 28. Plaintiff had disabilities that substantially limited life activities as set forth in this Complaint, including but not limited to a back problems and major depressive disorder.
- 29. Although Plaintiff had disabilities she was in fact able to perform the essential functions of her job and to work for Defendants.
- 30. Defendants' failure to properly accommodate Plaintiff and her termination due to her known and/or perceived health problems constitute violations of the ADA.

Count II <u>Violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA")</u> (Retaliation – Wrongful Termination)

- 31. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 32. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation from Defendants, namely that she would be unable to "pass" a normal drug screening due to her prescription medications.
 - 33. Plaintiff was terminated by Defendants because of her requested accommodation(s).
 - 34. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to be prohibited from continuing to maintain their illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date she first suffered discrimination/retaliation at the hands of Defendants until the date of verdict;

C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an

amount determined by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for its

willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendants or other employers

from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court

deems just, proper and appropriate, including but not limited to, emotional distress and/or pain

and suffering damages (where legally permitted);

E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable

legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to the Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in

applicable federal law; and

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable

law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square

Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: July 7, 2015

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	ephone FAX Number E-Mail Address		E-Mail Address		
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	0	akarpf@karpf-law.com		
Date	Attorney-at-	law	Attorney for		
7/7/2015	Ari R, Karpf		Plaintiff		
(f) Standard Manageme	ent - Cases that do not	fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)	
the court. (See reve management cases.)	to as complex and that rse side of this form fo	need special or a detailed e	· *	()	
exposure to asbesto	S.		r property damage from	()	
•	r ·		ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()	
and Human Service	s denying plaintiff Soc	ial Security E		()	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF TH	IE FOLLOWING CA	SE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defen the plaintiff and all oth	d serve a copy on all def the event that a defendant shall with its first	endants. (Secondants does not appearance, agement Trace	Reduction Plan of this court, counsation Form in all civil cases at the ties \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and set k Designation Form specifying the ed.	me o everse g said	
	SSOCIATION, et al.	:	NO.		
·	v. LL'EY YOUNG MEN'S	: : :			
ANTOINETTE	GRAESER	:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM (assignment to appropriate calendar,	to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 14 1/2 Brookline Blvd, Havertown, PA 19083	
Address of Defendant: 2460 Boulevard of the Generals, West Norriton,	, PA 19403; 2000 Market Street, Suite 750, Philadelphia, PA 1910
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business	
(Use Reverse Side Fo	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	n and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its starts
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1((a)) Yes No X
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No. 🛣
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	Data Tellimand.
	•
1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes No No
action in this court?	r successful of within one year previously terminated
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	Yes□ No□
terminated action in this court?	r numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi	hts case filed by the same individual?
•	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	P. Director Late to the control of t
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	·
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	2. Airplane Personal Injury Arrante P. Court
4. □ Antitrust	3. Assault, Defamation
5. □ Patent	4. Marine Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
7. M Civil Rights	6. O Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
The state of the s	7. Products Liability
•	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
O. G. Garding and P. C. Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
0. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
1. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT. (Check Appropriate Ca. Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby certif	ategory)
Counsel of record do hereby certiful Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and in 150,000,000 excharges of interest and content.	iy,
Soloword exercisive of lifeciest and costs,	bones, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
ATE: 7/7/2015	ARK2484
Attomey-at-Law	Attorney I.D.# 91538
NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
ertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religied to any case now pending or very tax noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
ATE: 7/7/2015	_ARK2484
V. 609 (5/2012)	Atterney 1.D.# 91538

*2JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
• •				LLEY YOUNG MEN'	CUDÍCTIANI	
GRAESER, ANTOINETTE			ASSOCIATION	Montgomery		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware			County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgom		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128, Bensalem, PA 19020, (215) 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.com			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Or	nlv) III. C)	I ITIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
U.S. Government	図 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF I CI I Incorporated or Proof Business In Th	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in 	I	en of Another State C	O 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
			en or Subject of a Creign Country	J 3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SU	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		dergynunggesywysg	aenvendang dukawasa	I MESTOVITTI VALVATA ELEMENT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Centract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure	PERSONAL INJURY	NAL INJURY 61 conal Injury - 62 conal Injury - 62 conal Injury - 62 conal Injury - 63 conal Injury - 64 conal Injury -	10 Agriculture 10 Other Food & Drug 15 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 10 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 10 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 450 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
🕅 1 Original 🗍 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) conoved from	urt Reop	ened anothe			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under when the Americans w/Disabilities And Brief description of cause: Violations of the ADA and	Act "ADA" (421	JSC12101)			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: 図 Yes ロ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:			The basis and the same and the same are the			
7/7/2015 DATE	SIGNA	TURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			

Print Save As...

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset